

# INSPIRE and its impact on the meteorological community

## Discussion items

- Questionnaire to find out the status of implementation
- Naming convention
- Business model
- Problems with standards (which data sets?)
- WIS and INSPIRE

## Questionnaire to find out the status of implementation

- Do you have already INSPIRE-compliant metadata for your products?
- Do you run a metadata server?
- Do you run a WMS server for INSPIRE?
- If yes, which software are you using?
- If no, do you think that another institution can host your INSPIRE services?
- What kind of data will you provide under the INSPIRE umbrella?
- What is your status of implementing download services?
- What is your status of implementing view services?
- Which type of download service do you prefer? (AtomFeed, WFS, WCS, SOS, others)?
- Would you like to become an INSPIRE pilot?
- **Ask the facilitator for Met to submit this questionnaire to met services**



## Naming convention

- Statet in the spec as not useful
- Recommendation: Use the annex acronyms ACMF (Atmospheric Conditions and Meteorological Geographical Features) or EF (Environmental Monitoring Facilities) as a prefix in the names of INSPIRE layers

## Business model

- Is data offered free?
- Do you want to make money?
- What is license agreement.
- INSPIRE concept is not „data is free“ but „data is dicoverable“
- ECOMET should be involved
- Unclear if INSPIRE has impact on the current business model; may be diffent in different countries
- Find out what the situation is?